Remarks

This Amendment is responsive to the Office Action of **February 8, 2005**.

Reexamination and reconsideration of **claims 1-7, 16-21 and 24** is respectfully requested.

Summary of The Office Action

The non-statutory type double patenting rejections have been withdrawn due to the terminal disclaimer filed on September 2, 2004.

Claims 4 and 6 stand allowed.

Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 16, 18-21, and 24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bohorquez (US 5357081) in view of Suzuki (US 4514737) and Doluca (US 6208127).

Claims 17 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bohorquez (US 5357081) in view of Suzuki (US 4514737) and Doluca (US 6208127) as applied to claim 16, and further in view of Otsuki (US 6145961).

The Present Amendment

The present amendment cancels all rejected claims in order to place the application is condition for allowance. Applicant reserves the right to pursue the canceled claims in continuation applications. Only allowed claims 4 and 6 remain. Therefore, the present application should be in condition for allowance.

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

While the Applicant agrees with the position of patentability of the claims, Applicant does not believe the paraphrased reasons of patentability in the Office Action fully reflect the

Docket No. 10010484-2

scope of the claims. Applicant respectfully submits that the scope of each allowed claim is based on the language corresponding to that claim and its equivalents.

Conclusion

For the reasons set forth above, **claims 4** and **6** patentably and unobviously distinguish over the references of record and are now in condition for allowance. An early allowance of all claims is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

PETAR KRAGULJAC (Reg. No. 38,520)

(216) 348-5843